

A CONSIDERATION OF THE RECIPROCAL RELATION BETWEEN LEISURE AND RECREATION

(NARA WOMEN'S UNIVERSITY) Kiichi Otagiri

Many discussion on leisure and recreation have been developed on each distinctive understandings, before now.

But in our present situation of mass leisure age, it is necessary to reconsider a new grasp of 'leisure and recreation'

Now, leisure and recreation are not to be distinguished, but to be understood, so to speak, the reciprocal relation as a set.

Leisure is intended for the applause of humanity which is consisted in the conviction of civilized life (rich, agreeable and convenient life).

Recreation is intended for the efflorescence of humanity which is paradoxically reflected in the irrational, conflicting factors of civilized life.

The advocacy of humanism in leisure and recreation have a common 'intensive meaning'. This intensive meaning is composed of three structural levels as follow.

First level is dependent on our rapturous play action which is essential to our vital phenomena.

Second level is dependent on our play habits which are functionated in relation to our designs for livings.

Third level is dependent on the consciousness of 'leisure-recreation rights (claims)' for all people.

Then, the critical point of contemporary leisure-recreation is confirmed by the mention of alienated play'. Three aspects of alienated leisure-recreation is supposed as follow.

First aspect is a artificiality breaking away from the play of nature.

Second aspect is a human deny, which is caused by leisure-recreation.

Third aspect is a isolation from the group member of leisure-recreation.